

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,793	04/14/2004	James J. Modliszewski	60310-USA	6666
7590 02/15/2008 Paul A. Fair - Patent Administration FMC Corporation			EXAMINER	
			WHITE, EVERETT NMN	
1735 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
i imadorpina, i	117100		1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/824,793	MODLISZEWSKI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Everett White	1623		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Seply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice units. 	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal matter			
Disposition of Claims	0 -	•		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 April 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan orrection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/1/07 & 12/14/07. 	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 1, 2007 has been entered.
- 2. Applicants response filed May 1, 2007 has been received, entered and carefully considered. The amendment affects the instant application accordingly:
- (A) Comments regarding Office Action have been provided drawn to:
 - (I) nonstatutory double patenting rejection, which is maintained for the reasons or record;
 - (II) 103(a) rejection, which is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 3. Claims 1-27 are pending in the case.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U. S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/824,793

Art Unit: 1623

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 6. Claims 1 and 2 stand provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 44 and 45 of copending Application No. 10/824,688 for the reasons disclosed on pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action mailed March 6, 2006.
- 7. The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's request that the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection be held until such time as notice of patentable subject matter has been received in the applications. Applicants indicated an appropriate terminal disclaimer will be filed at that time if necessary.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. Claims 1-17, 20, 21 and 23-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gennadios (US Patent No. 6,214,376) for the reasons disclosed on pages 4-7 of the Office Action mailed March 6, 2006.
- 9. Claims 18, 19 and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gennadios (US Patent No. 6,214,376) for the reasons disclosed on pages 7-9 of the Office Action mailed March 6, 2006.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed August 11, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants appear to argue against the rejection on the ground that the structurally differences between the kappa-2 carrageenan disclosed in the delivery composition of the instant claims and the kappa-carrageenan or iota-carrageenan disclosed in the delivery composition of the Gennadios patent is sufficient to over come the 103 rejection of the instant claims over the Gennadios patent. This argument is not persuasive because kappa-2 carrageenan and kappa carrageenan or iota carrageenan

Application/Control Number: 10/824,793

Art Unit: 1623

سنهٔ

do possess common characteristics, structurally and properties, as pointed out in the instant specification (see pages 4-7). Applicants have not show that the composition of the Gennadios patent comprising kappa carrageenan and iota carrageenan is different in a non-obvious minor from the composition of the instant claims comprising kappa-2 carrageenan. Where the claimed and prior art compounds possess a close structural relationship and a specific significant property in common which renders the claimed compounds obvious to one skilled in the art, they are effectively placed in the public domain and unpatentable per se, even though the Applicant has discovered that they possess an additional activity. In re Mod et al. (CCPA 1969) 408 F2d 1055, 161 USPQ 281; Monsanto Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co. (DC Pen 1970) 420 Fsupp 950, 164 USPQ 556 (affd. On other grounds, 172 USPQ 323).

Applicant also argues that the Gennadios patent provides no disclosure or suggestion of incorporating an active substance in the gel film itself. This argument is not persuasive since the Gennadios patent does disclose a list of materials (see column 5, lines 9-15) that may be added to the composition thereof which embraces some of the active substances disclosed in instant Claims 2 and 19. Accordingly, the rejection of Claims 1-27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Gennadios patent is maintained for the reasons of record.

Summary

11. All the claims are rejected.

Examiner's Telephone Number, Fax Number, and Other Information

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Everett White whose telephone number is 571-272-0660. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be reached on 571-272-066127. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,793

Art Unit: 1623

٠<u>.</u>

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

E. White

Shaojia A. Jiang

Supervisory Primary Examiner
Technology Center 1600

Technology Center 1600